

Jaquet-Langlands correspondence & (Spectral Side of the) Trace Formula

Over the last weeks we discussed and proved the local Langlands conjecture for GL_2 . In the last two talks we want to give an outlook towards more general Langland's-type phenomena: The **Jaquet-Langlands corresp.**

This is a special instance of Langland's functoriality. It will require us to consider both the global world and more general reductive groups. Here is the statement:

1. Jaquet-Langlands Corresp.

Theorem (Local Jaquet-Langlands)

D_v is a skew-field with centre \mathbb{Q}_v ,
 $[D_v : \mathbb{Q}_v] = 2^2 = 4$

Let D_v be the unique non-split quaternion algebra over \mathbb{Q}_v , v some place of \mathbb{Q} . Then

$$\{ \text{irred. smooth rep's of } D_v^\times(\mathbb{Q}_v) \text{ of dim } \neq 1 \} \xleftrightarrow{1:1} \{ \text{irred. discrete series rep's of } GL_2(\mathbb{Q}_v) \}$$

(cuspidal or ϕ -StG)

Theorem: (Global Jaquet-Langlands)

Let D be a quaternion algebra / \mathbb{Q} , let S be the finite (!) set of places v s.t. $D \otimes \mathbb{Q}_v \not\cong M_2(\mathbb{Q}_v)$. Then there is a unique bijection

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{irreducible automorphic} \\ \text{(automatically cuspidal) rep's of} \\ D^\times(\mathbb{A}) \\ \text{of dim } \neq 1 \\ \text{s.t. } \pi_v \end{array} \right\} \xleftrightarrow{1:1} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{irred. automorphic cuspidal rep's } \pi \text{ of} \\ GL_2(\mathbb{A}) \\ \text{s.t. } \pi_v \text{ belongs to the discrete series } \forall v \in S \\ \pi_v \quad \forall v \notin S \end{array} \right\}$$

Remarks:

- $A := \prod_p' \mathbb{Q}_p \times \mathbb{R} := \{ (x_v) \in \prod_v \mathbb{Q}_v \mid x_p \in \mathbb{Z}_p \text{ for all but fin. many } p \}$
is called the ring of **adeles** of \mathbb{Q} . With the subspace topology inherited from $A \subseteq \prod_v \mathbb{Q}_v$, A becomes a locally compact Hausdorff topological space.
{ $\prod_v \mathbb{Q}_v$ is not locally compact, hence no good for our purposes

$$\leadsto \pi \text{ (irred.) rep' of } G(A) \iff \pi = \otimes \pi_v, \pi_v \in \text{Rep}(G_v)$$

The terminology regarding representations of $G(A)$ will be discussed later

- (2) It is true that $\{ \text{Characters of } \mathbb{D}^\times(A) \} = \{ \text{Characters of } GL_2(A) \}$.

The reason we exclude them in the Global JL-correspondence is that characters of $GL_2(A)$ are never cuspidal

- (3) We will stick with \mathbb{Q} for concreteness, however all statements in this talk hold true over any number field

- (4) There are similar statements for the groups SL_2 , PGL_2 resp. SD^\times (= units of norm one), PD^\times . In fact, for the rest of the talk we will stick to SL_2 . This doesn't simplify anything significantly but gets rid of some technicalities in some definitions.

In any case, note that ⁱⁿ dealing with SL_2 instead of GL_2 we don't lose much: GL_2 is basically just SL_2 + a central torus \leftarrow always acts via a character

\Rightarrow rep's of GL_2 are essentially just twists of rep's of SL_2 by characters

2. Spectral theory

Fix G locally compact, unimodular top. group

$\Gamma \subseteq G$ a discrete subgroup

$$\text{Ex: } \begin{cases} \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \\ \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}) \\ \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{A}) \end{cases}$$

We consider $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) := \{ f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} : f(yg) = f(g) \forall y \in \Gamma, \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} |f|^2 d\mu < \infty \}$

Hilbert space

Haar meas. on G

and the **regular rep** \mathbb{R} of G on $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$, $(\mathbb{R}(g) \cdot f)(h) = f(hg)$

↑ unitary, i.e. preserves the inner product!

Spectral theory: Study of how \mathbb{R} decomposes into subrep's?

Def: An irred. representation (π, V) of G is

- **smooth automorphic** $\Leftrightarrow (\pi, V) \in \mathcal{A}(\Gamma \backslash G) = \{ f: \Gamma \backslash G \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid f \text{ 'smooth' } \}$
- **discrete series** $\Leftrightarrow (\pi, V) \in \underset{\text{closed}}{\bigcup} L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \Leftrightarrow (\pi, V) \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \cap \mathcal{A}(\Gamma \backslash G)$
- **cuspidal** $\Leftrightarrow (\pi, V) \in \mathcal{A}_0(\Gamma \backslash G) = \{ f: \Gamma \backslash G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, f \text{ decays rapidly @ } \infty \}$

Ex.: $G = \mathbb{R}, \Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \Rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{S}^1) = \hat{\bigoplus}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{C} e^{2\pi i n(\cdot)} \cong L^2(\mathbb{Z}), f = \sum_n a_n e^{2\pi i n(\cdot)} \mapsto (a_n)$

Observe: $L^2(\mathbb{S}^1)$ decomposes discretely, all rep's of \mathbb{S}^1 appear

$G = \mathbb{R}, \Gamma = \{1\} \Rightarrow L^2(\mathbb{R}) = \int_{x \in \mathbb{R}}^{\oplus} \mathbb{C} e^{2\pi i x(\cdot)} \xrightarrow{\sim} L^2(\mathbb{R}), f = \int_{\mathbb{R}} a(x) e^{2\pi i x(\cdot)} dx \mapsto a$

Note: $\mathbb{R} \curvearrowright L^2(\mathbb{R})$ is irreducible

Observe: $L^2(\mathbb{R})$ 'decomposes' continuously, all rep's of \mathbb{R} appear

Slogan: \mathbb{R} knows essentially everything about the rep's of G

2.1. Compact case

Assume that $\Gamma \backslash G$ is compact (Ex: $\mathbb{SD}^*(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq \mathbb{SD}^*(\mathbb{A})$
 $\mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{S}^3 \subseteq \mathbb{H}^1 \times$
 $\mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{SD}_p^*(\mathbb{Q}_p)$)

Theorem: (Peter-Weyl)

$$L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) = \hat{\bigoplus}_{\pi} m_{\pi} \cdot \pi = \hat{\bigoplus}_{\pi} V_{\pi}^{\oplus m_{\pi}}$$

where π runs through (iso-classes) of irreducible (unitary) reps of G . Moreover, $m_{\pi} < \infty$

Proof: (Sketch)

Given $f \in C_c^{\infty}(G)$ we have $R(f) : L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i.e. } (R(f)\phi)(x) &= \int_G f(y) (R(y)\phi)(x) dy = \int_G f(y) \phi(xy) dy \\ &= \int_G f(x^{-1}y) \phi(y) dy = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(x^{-1}\gamma y) \phi(y) dy \end{aligned}$$

is an 'integral operator with kernel'

$$K_f(x, y) := \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} f(x^{-1}\gamma y) \quad x, y \in \Gamma \backslash G$$

sum is really finite because supp is cpt.

(i.e. a limit of lin. operators with fin. dim. image)

In this case $R(f) : L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) \rightarrow L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ is a 'compact operator'.

(This is true more generally provided that $K_f \in L^2(\Gamma \backslash G \times \Gamma \backslash G) \leftarrow$ violated e.g. for $\mathbb{Z} \backslash \mathbb{Z} \in \mathbb{R}$)

Fact: (Spectral thm for cpt. operators)

(i) If $R(f) \neq 0$ then $R(f)$ has an eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$

(ii) Each eigenspace E_{λ} of $R(f)$ for $\lambda \neq 0$ is fin. dim.

Now: $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) = \hat{\bigoplus}_{\pi} m_{\pi} \pi$:

Since $G \curvearrowright L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ is unitary, it suffices to show that there exists a min. closed G -invariant subspace $V \subseteq L^2$

Indeed, pick f s.t. $\mathcal{R}(f) \neq 0$, let $\lambda \neq 0$ be an eigenvalue.

Consider the set $\{P \in L^2 \text{ closed } G\text{-inv.} \mid P \cap E \neq \emptyset\}$

and pick P s.t. $M := E \cap P$ is of min. dim. (possible because $\dim E < \infty$)

Pick $\varphi \in M \setminus \{0\}$ and set $V := \overline{\langle G \cdot \varphi \rangle} \sim \text{closed, } G\text{-invariant}$

Claim: V is minimal

Proof: Suppose $W \subseteq V$ is closed and G -invariant $\implies V = Q \oplus Q'$

Note: $\varphi \in M \subseteq P \implies G\varphi \in P \stackrel{(*)}{\implies} V \subseteq P \implies \varphi \in V \cap E \subseteq P \cap E = M \implies V \cap E = M$

Now: $M = V \cap E = \underbrace{(Q \cap E) \oplus (Q' \cap E)}_{\substack{V, Q, Q' \subseteq E \\ \mathcal{R}(f)\text{-invariant}}}$

Thus $\circ Q \cap E = M \stackrel{\substack{\text{as in} \\ (*)}}{\implies} V \subseteq Q \implies V = Q$

or $\circ Q' \cap E = M \implies V \subseteq Q' \implies V = Q'$

□

$m_\pi < +\infty$: Pick f s.t. $\mathcal{R}(f)|_{V_\pi} \neq 0$ with eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 0$

$\implies \underbrace{\text{Eig}(\lambda, \mathcal{R}(f))}_{\text{fn. dim}} \cong \bigoplus_{m_\pi} \text{Eig}(\lambda, \mathcal{R}(f)|_{V_\pi})$

□

Remark: Conversely, assume that G is compact. Then any irreducible rep' (π, V) of G occurs in $L^2(G) = \bigoplus_{\pi} m_\pi \cdot \pi$

Proof: Trick: Fix $v \in V$

$\implies V \xrightarrow{\text{irred.}} L^2(G)$

$v \longmapsto (g \longmapsto \langle v, \pi(g)v \rangle)$

(matrix coefficients)
cont. + $\rho(G)$ cpt. $\implies \in L^2$

Why? \sim Being in L^2 is no issue but in general the matrix coeff. have no reason to be left- ρ -invariant! □

Warning: However, appearing in $L^2(G)$ is a strong condition

↳ Being automorphic is more than just being a \otimes -product of smooth reps of the local factors

2.2. Non-compact case

From now on we will stick to the arithmetic situation, e.g.

- $\{1\} \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{Q}_p)$
- $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{R})$
- $SL_2(\mathbb{Q}) \subseteq SL_2(\mathbb{A})$

How does $L^2(\Gamma \backslash G)$ decompose?

$$\leadsto L^2 = L^2_{\text{disc}} \oplus L^2_{\text{cont}} = L^2_{\text{cusp}} \oplus L^2_{\text{disc} \setminus \text{cusp}} \oplus L^2_{\text{cont}}$$

Theorem: (Gelfand, Piatetski-Shapiro)

$R(f)|_{L^2_{\text{cusp}}}$ is a compact operator for all $f \in C_c^\infty(G)$.

In particular, $L^2_{\text{cusp}} = \hat{\bigoplus}_{\pi \text{ cusp.}} m_\pi \cdot \pi$ and $m_\pi < +\infty$

Theorem: (Langlands)

$$L^2(SL_2(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash SL_2(\mathbb{A})) = \bigoplus_{x=[P,p]} L^2_x,$$

where $x=[P,p]$ runs through pairs $P \subseteq G$ parabolic
 p cuspidal rep' of $P/\mathbb{R}_u P$
 modulo conjugation

\leadsto There are two options: $\circ P = G$, $p = \bar{\pi}$ cuspidal rep of G , $L^2_x \cong m_\pi \cdot \pi$
 $\circ P = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$, $p = \mu$ (cuspidal) rep' of T , $T = \begin{pmatrix} * & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix}$

Upshot: $L^2 = L^2_{\text{cusp}} \oplus \bigoplus_{\mu \in \text{Rep}(T)} L^2_\mu$

Slogan: All complications stem from parabolic subgroups

Rem: $R(f) \parallel_{L^2_\mu}$ can be described in terms of so-called **Eisenstein-series**

analogues of
 $e^{2\pi i x(-1)}$ for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$

3. The trace formula

3.1. Compact Case

Assume that $\Gamma \backslash G$ is compact $\implies L^2(\Gamma \backslash G) = \hat{\bigoplus}_{\pi} m_{\pi} \pi$

Recall: • key part of the proof: Check that $\mathcal{R}(f)$ is compact

$$\bullet (\mathcal{R}(f)\phi)(x) = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} K_f(x,y) \phi(y) dy, \quad K_f(x,y) = \sum_{\gamma} f(x^{-1}\gamma y)$$

Note: $\text{tr}(\mathcal{R}(f)) = \sum_{\pi} m_{\pi} \text{tr}(\mathcal{R}(f)|_{\pi}) = \sum_{\pi} m_{\pi} \text{tr}(\pi(f))$; on the other hand

Fact for integral operators

$$\text{tr}(\mathcal{R}(f)) = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} K_f(x,x) dx = \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \sum_{\gamma} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) dx$$

$$= \int_{\Gamma \backslash G} \sum_{[\delta]} \sum_{\delta \in \Gamma_{\delta} \backslash \Gamma} f(x^{-1}\delta^{-1}\gamma\delta x) dx \quad \Gamma_{\delta} := \text{centraliser of } \gamma \text{ in } \Gamma$$

$$= \sum_{[\delta]} \int_{\Gamma_{\delta} \backslash G} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) dx$$

$$\stackrel{\text{Fubini}}{=} \sum_{[\delta]} \int_{G_{\delta} \backslash G} \int_{\Gamma_{\delta} \backslash G_{\delta}} f(x^{-1} \underbrace{u^{-1}\gamma u}_{=\gamma} x) du dx$$

$$= \sum_{[\delta]} \text{vol}(\Gamma_{\delta} \backslash G_{\delta}) \int_{G_{\delta} \backslash G} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) dx$$

Conclusion: $\text{tr}(\mathcal{R}(f)) = \sum_{[\delta]} \text{vol}(\Gamma_{\delta} \backslash G_{\delta}) \int_{G_{\delta} \backslash G} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) dx = \sum_{\pi} m_{\pi} \text{tr}(\underbrace{\pi(f)}_{=\hat{f}(\pi)})$

Ex: $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R} = G$; $\Gamma_{\gamma} = \Gamma$, $G_{\gamma} = G$, $\text{vol}(\Gamma_{\gamma} \backslash G_{\gamma}) = \text{vol}(\mathbb{S}^1) = 1$, $m_{\pi} = 1, \dots$

$$\implies \text{tr}(\mathcal{R}(f)) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \hat{f}(n)$$

3.2. Non-cpt. case

Want: $\sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \mathcal{J}_\gamma^\top(f) = \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{J}_\alpha^\top(f) \quad \forall f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{Z}(A) \backslash GL_2(A))$

obtained by integrating some function K_f^\top on $\Gamma \backslash G \times \Gamma \backslash G$ over the diagonal

Γ agrees with K_f on a big open subset $U \subset G$ depending on T

$\Rightarrow \gamma$ not contained in a parabolic

Geometric side

Def: $\gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q})$ is called

- **elliptic** if the eigenvalues of γ are not rational ($\Rightarrow \gamma$ is semisimple)
- **hyperbolic** if the eigenvalues of γ are rational and distinct
- **unipotent** if the eigenvalues of γ are rational and coincide

Theorem: (Arthur's (not so) simple trace formula)

Let $f = \prod f_v \in C_c^\infty(SL_2(A))$ s.t.

$$\int_{G_\mathbb{Q}(\mathbb{Q}_v) \backslash G(\mathbb{Q}_v)} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) dx \stackrel{(*)}{=} 0 \quad \forall \gamma \in SL_2(\mathbb{Q}) \text{ hyperbolic for at least two places } v_1, v_2.$$

Then

$$\text{tr}(R(f)|L_{\text{cusp}}^2) = \text{vol}(SL_2(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash SL_2(A)) f(1) + \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \Gamma \\ \text{elliptic}}} \text{vol}(\Gamma_\gamma \backslash G_\mathbb{Q}) \int_{G_\mathbb{Q} \backslash G} f(x^{-1}\gamma x) dx$$

$$- \text{vol}(SL_2(\mathbb{Q}) \backslash SL_2(A)) \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \text{Rep}(T) \\ \mu^2 = 1}} \mu(f)$$

Proof Idea:

Spectral side: (*) forces all integrals over Eisenstein series to vanish, only those with residues contribute (as for Dirichlet L-fct's. most Eisenstein series are holomorphic) and these are precisely those with $\mu^2 = 1$

Geometric side: (*) forces all extra contributions to vanish except that for $\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$.