

Applications of compact generation

(1)

The main result is to identify $MF^{\infty}(R, W)$ with a category of dg modules over the dg algebra $\text{Hom}_R(k^{st})$

§ Homotopy theory of 2-periodic dg categories

We have had a review of the model structure on dg-cat, and a description of the morphism complex in $\text{Ho} \text{dg}$. With suitable adjustment this passes to results of

2-periodic dg categories

Recall a dg category is a category which is complex of k -vector spaces $C(k)$. A 2-periodic dg category is a category bounded in $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded complexes, equivalently a dg category over $k[\pi/2]$ with $|u| = 2$, i.e.

$\text{Hom}(x, y)^*$ has an iso $xu: \text{Hom}(x, y) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(x, y)[2]$ and morphism compatible with xu .

We have Reesman Free $\rightarrow$ largest pair of adjoints with

$$\text{dgcat}_k \xrightarrow[\text{Free}]{\text{Free} \rightarrow \text{dgcat}_k} \text{dgcat}_{k[\pi/2]}$$

Model structures via the adjunction the cofibrantly generated (2)
 model structure on dg cat_k induces one on $\text{dg cat}(k[u, u^{-1}])$
 $f, b = f$ s.t. $\text{Syz}(f)$ is a fibrot in dg cat_k
 weq = " weqin "

Moreover the generation (trivial) cofibration in $\text{dg cat}(k[u, u^{-1}])$
 are the image under Syz of the generation (trivial)
 cofibration in dg cat_k

$\text{dg cat}(k[u, u^{-1}])$ has a closed monoidal structure $\otimes_{k[u, u^{-1}]}$ $\rightarrow \text{Hom}(-, -)$

$\text{Hom}(T, T')$ is dg cat of $C(k[u, u^{-1}])$ enriched functors
 i.e. dg cat with $\text{Hom}(-, -)^n$ as dg cat transformations
 up to sign wot $\text{Hom}(-, -)$

$$T\text{-mod} = \text{Hom}(T, C(k[u, u^{-1}]))$$

$$x \in T \rightsquigarrow h_x = h_x(b) = T(y, x) \in T^{\text{op}}\text{-mod}$$

$$\text{representable} \rightarrow h^x : h^x(b) = T(x, y) \in T\text{-mod}$$

$$h_- : T \rightarrow T^{\text{op}}\text{-mod}, \quad h^x : T^{\text{op}} \rightarrow T\text{-mod}$$

Standard model structure on $C(k[u, u^{-1}])$ (also same on $C(k)$)
 induces (projective) model structure on $T\text{-mod}$

Fibrant & v.g. defined objects

(3)

objects (fibrant) of $\mathcal{L}^{\infty}$ (generally (fibrant) objects)

This gives us the associated homotopy category $H_0(T\text{-Mod})$ with corresponding functors

Def $D(T) = H_0(T\text{-mod}) \text{cat} \quad \mathcal{L}^{\infty}: T\text{-Mod} \rightarrow H_0(T\text{-Mod})$

$\text{Int}(T\text{-mod}) \subseteq T\text{-mod}_{\text{fibrant}}$

We have the functor $H^0: \text{dgcat}_{\mathcal{L}^{\infty}}^{\text{fibrant}} \rightarrow \text{cat}$. The main

theorem of model categories says we have a canonical equivalence

$H^0(\text{Int}(T\text{-Mod})) \cong D(T)$ Note that all obj in $T^{\text{op}}\text{-mod}$ are fibrant and all representables are cofibrant, so we have

$$h_x: T \rightarrow \text{Int}(T^{\text{op}}\text{-mod})$$

$$\downarrow$$

$$T^{\text{op}}\text{-mod}$$

 Moreover h_x is compact for all $x \in T$

Def $\hat{T} = \text{Int}(T^{\text{op}}\text{-mod})$ so $H^0(\hat{T}) \cong D(T^{\text{op}})$

$$\cong H_0(T^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod})$$

$T \subset \hat{T}_{\text{pc}} = \text{perfect obj in } \hat{T}$: $M \in \text{Int}(T^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod})$ is perfect if $\langle M \rangle$ is compact in $H^0(\text{Int}(T^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod}) = D(T^{\text{op}}))$

(pre)triangulated hull of T

Def T is pc if $T \rightarrow \hat{T}_{\text{pc}}$ is qf

Note $H^0(\hat{T})$ is a triangulated category with compact objects in $C(b\mathcal{H}_n)$ (9)

$$H^0(\hat{T})^c = H^0(\hat{T}_{pe}) \supset H^0(T) \text{ is set of compact generators}$$

since $H^0(T)^\perp = \{0\}$

Theorem (Neeman) Let $\mathcal{T}$ be a triangulated category with a set S of compact generators. Then the smallest triangulated subcategory of $\mathcal{T}$ containing S and closed under summands is the full subcategory of compact objects in $\mathcal{T}$.

Consequence $H^0(\hat{T}_{pe})$ is the smallest triangulated subcategory of $H^0(\hat{T})$ containing $H^0(T)$ and closed under summands. If $H^0(T)$ is already a triangulated subcategory, then $H^0(\hat{T}_{pe})$ is the idempotent completion of $H^0(T)$.

Dg derived category Let $\mathcal{W}$ be a set of morphisms
 a dg cat $\mathcal{T}$. By Toën $\exists$ a fun.

$L: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{T})$ in $\text{Hodgcat}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$
 with universal property: for $\mathcal{T}' \in \text{dgcat}_{\mathbb{Z}/2}$

$$L': [L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{T}), \mathcal{T}'] \xrightarrow{\text{Hodg}\mathbb{Z}/2} [\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}']_{\text{Hodg}\mathbb{Z}/2}$$

is injective, with map $\text{Ob } f: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}'$ such that
 $H^0(f)(\mathcal{W}) \subset \text{Iso } H^0(\mathcal{T}')$ (Actually this is a property of $L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{T})$ but
 not universal property that Toën proves)
 construction: adjoint K , take a few

Def let $\mathcal{W} = \{w_{\mathcal{M}} \text{ in } \mathcal{T}\text{-Mod}\}$ The dg-derived cat of $\mathcal{T}$
 is $L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{T}\text{-Mod})$

Note. $H^0(L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{T}\text{-Mod})) \cong D(\mathcal{T}) = H^0(\mathcal{T}\text{-Mod}) = H^0(\text{Int}(\mathcal{T}\text{-Mod}))$
 Isom for $\mathcal{M} \in \mathcal{T}\text{-Mod}$, $Q\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is $w_{\mathcal{M}} \Rightarrow$
 $H^0(\text{Int}(\mathcal{T}\text{-Mod})) \cong H^0(L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{T}\text{-Mod})) (= \text{Int}(\mathcal{T}\text{-Mod}))$ maps isom $H^0(L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{T}\text{-Mod}))$

$\bullet$ $\mathcal{T} \rightarrow L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{T})$ is an iso in $\text{Hodg}\mathbb{Z}/2$; for each $f: \mathcal{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}'$
 $\{f(w)\} \subset \text{Iso}[\mathcal{T}'] \Rightarrow [L_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathcal{T}), \mathcal{T}'] \xrightarrow{\cong} [\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{T}']$

Here is a version of Neeman's theorem
 Recall T is pretriangulated if $T \xrightarrow{h} T_{pe}$ is a g.g.w. in $\text{Hob}(\rightarrow H^0(T))^{\text{g.g.w.}}$ (6)

Thm 5.1 Suppose $T \text{ dgcat}_{\mathbb{K}}^{\text{g.g.w.}}$ is pretriangulated exact (small) coproducts, $S \subset T$ a full subcategory of objects that are compact in $\text{Ho}(T)$. Suppose the smallest localizing subcategory of $\text{Ho}(T)$ containing $\text{Ho}(S)$ is $\text{Ho}(T)$. Then

$f: T \rightarrow L_W(S^{\text{op}}\text{-mod}) \quad x \mapsto L(\underline{h}_x(S))$
 is an isomorphism in $\text{Ho}(\text{dgcat}_{\mathbb{K}}^{\text{g.g.w.}})$

Moreover, f induces an isomorphism $T_{pe} \xrightarrow[\text{in Ho}(f)]{\sim} L_W(S^{\text{op}}\text{-mod})_{pe}$

Here $T_{pe} = \{x \in T \mid (x) \text{ is compact in } H^0(T)\}$

cf. Bökland's Keller. The 2nd assertion is a direct consequence, since for $T \xrightarrow{f} T'$ is in Hobdg , $H^0(f): H^0(T) \rightarrow H^0(T')$ is an equivalence hence induces an equivalence on subcategory of compact objects

Notation let A be a 2-parallel algebra $\sim A\text{-Mod dgcat}_{\mathbb{K}}^{\text{g.g.w.}}$

With $\hat{A}$ for $\widehat{A\text{-mod}}$, so $H^0(\hat{A}) = D(A^{\text{op}})$

Application to matrix factorization categories

(7)

Thm 5.2 (R, w) with isolated singularities

and let $A = \text{End}_{\text{MF}}(k^{\text{stab}})$

Then we have iso's in $\widehat{\text{Hocat}}_k^{\text{MF}}(R, w)$

$$(1) \text{MF}^{\text{co}}(R, w) \cong \text{MF}(R, w) (= \text{End}(\text{MF}(R, w)^{\text{op}}\text{-mod}))$$

$$(2) \text{MF}^{\text{co}}(R, w) \cong \widehat{A} (= \text{End}(A^{\text{op}}\text{-mod}))$$

$$(3) \widehat{\text{MF}(R, w)}_{\text{pe}} \cong \widehat{A}_{\text{pe}}$$

By Thm 4.1, k^{stab} is a compact generator for $[\text{MF}^{\text{co}}(R, w)]$

(2) Take $S = \{k^{\text{stab}}\}$ so $S^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod} = A^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod}$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{MF}^{\text{co}}(R, w) &\xrightarrow{\sim} \text{L}_w(A^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod}) \\ x &\mapsto \text{MF}(k^{\text{stab}}, x)^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod} \end{aligned}$$

$$\widehat{A} = \text{End} A^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod} \hookrightarrow A^{\text{op}}\text{-Mod}$$

x is an iso in $\text{Hocat}_k^{\text{MF}}(R, w)$ by Thm 5.1
 x is an iso in $\text{Hocat}_k^{\text{MF}}(R, w)$
 by "Nak" it is a quasi-isomorphism hence x is in $\text{Hocat}_k^{\text{MF}}(R, w)$

(1) Take $S = MF(R, w) \rightarrow k^{stab}$. We have a similar diagram (2)

$$MF^\infty(R, w) \xrightarrow{\lambda} L_w(MF(R, w)^{op-Mod})$$

$$\widehat{MF(R, w)} = \text{Int } MF(R, w)^{op-Mod} \hookrightarrow MF(R, w)^{op-Mod}$$

(3) follows from (1) & (2) & 2nd part of Thm 5.1 $\square$

Cor 5.3 $H^0(\widehat{MF(R, w)}_{pe}) \subset H^0(\widehat{MF^\infty(R, w)})$

is the smallest triangulated subcategory containing k^{stab} and closed under summands

If k^{stab} is a compact generator of $H^0(\widehat{MF^\infty(R, w)})$, and

$$H^0(\widehat{MF(R, w)}_{pe}) = H^0(\widehat{MF(R, w)})^c \subset H^0(\widehat{MF^\infty(R, w)})$$

Then apply Weiermann's Lemma $\square$.

Cor 5.4 Take $x, y \in MF^\infty(R, w)$ and $f \in \bigoplus_{MF}^0 \text{Hom}(x, y)$

Then f induces an iso in $H^0(\widehat{MF^\infty(R, w)}) \iff$

$\text{id}_k \otimes f: k \otimes_R x \rightarrow k \otimes_R y$ is a quasi isomorphism (of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$ -pro-abelian groups)

By Lemma 5.2 $\mathcal{F}$ is an iso in $H_0(MF(R_w))$ ②
 $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{F}_* = MF(k^{stab}, \mathcal{F}): MF(k^{stab}, \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow MF(k^{stab}, \mathcal{Y})$ is a
 quasi iso. By Prop 4.4 ($n = \dim B$)

$$H_{n+i}(MF(k^{stab}, \mathcal{X})) = H_i(k \otimes_S \tilde{\mathcal{X}}) = \begin{cases} \ker(\tilde{\Psi}) / \text{im}(\tilde{\Psi}) & i > 0 \\ \ker(\tilde{\Psi}) / \text{im}(\tilde{\Psi}) & i = 0 \end{cases}$$

the same for $\mathcal{Y}$. D

Explicit expression for $\text{End}(k^{stab})$

Let $(R, m = (\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n), n)\text{-cell } k^{stab} = \bigwedge^{\text{odd}}(\bigoplus_i R e_i) \xrightarrow[S_{\mathcal{F}}]{S_{\mathcal{F}^*}} \bigwedge^{\text{ev}}(\bigoplus_i R e_i)$
 The dg-algebra $\text{End}(k^{stab})$ has the following explicit presentation
 (assume $\dim k \neq 2$)

① $\bigwedge^{\text{ev}}(\bigoplus_i R e_i) = R\langle \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \rangle$ with $\deg \theta_i = 1$
 Let $\partial_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i}$ acting on $R\langle \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \rangle$ via super Leibniz rule
 $\partial_i(ab) = \partial_i a \cdot b + (-1)^{|a|} a \partial_i b$, ie $\deg \partial_i = 1$
 Let $R\langle \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \rangle$ be the completed (supp) Weyl algebra
 so $[\partial_i, \theta_j] = \begin{cases} 0 & i \neq j \\ \text{id} & i = j \end{cases}$ of polynomial differential operators on $R\langle \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n \rangle$
 Then via ① we have $R\langle \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n, \partial_1, \dots, \partial_n \rangle \simeq \text{End}(k^{stab})$ (dg alg iso)
 with differential $L_S - 1$, $S = \sum x_i \partial_i + w_i \theta_i$

§ Knörrer periodicity

We use non-local version of the above results, assume $V(w)$ has an single isolated singularity (or pair always to the local version of $V(w)_{\text{sing}}$)

Theorem (Knörrer periodicity - local version)

Take (R, w) with $V(w)_{\text{sing}} = \text{Spec}(R)$. Then

$$MF^{\infty}(R, w) \text{ and } MF^{\infty}(R[x, y], w + xy)$$

are weakly equivalent

if Consider $MF^{\infty}(k[x, y], xy)$, $k(x)$ is a compact generator of $H_0(\quad)$.

Let

$$X := k[x, y] \xrightarrow{x} k[x, y]$$

Then $k(x) \cong X \oplus X[1]$ in $MF(k[x, y], xy)$

$$\begin{matrix} s_0 & k[x, y]e_1 & \xrightarrow{s_0} & k[x, y] \\ \oplus & & & \\ & k[x, y]e_2 & & \end{matrix} \xrightarrow{s_0} k[x, y] \rightarrow k(x)$$

$$0 \rightarrow k[x, y]e_1 \oplus k[x, y]e_2$$

$$\hookrightarrow \left(\frac{1}{2}ye_1 + \frac{1}{2}xe_2 \right) = 0 \quad (ye_1)_n \quad \text{or} \quad (xe_2)_n$$

stab

$$\begin{matrix} k[x, y]e_1 & \xrightarrow{\varphi = s_0 \pm x} & k[x, y] \\ \oplus & & \oplus \\ k[x, y]e_2 & \xrightarrow{\psi = s_0 \pm y} & k[x, y]e_1 \oplus k[x, y]e_2 \end{matrix} \quad \varphi = \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ \frac{1}{2}x & \frac{1}{2}y \end{pmatrix} \quad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}y & +y \\ \frac{1}{2}x & -x \end{pmatrix}$$

or

$$\begin{matrix} \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ k[x, y]e_1 & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & -y \end{pmatrix}} & k[x, y] \\ \oplus & & \oplus \\ k[x, y]e_2 & \xrightarrow{\begin{pmatrix} y & 0 \\ 0 & -x \end{pmatrix}} & k[x, y]e_1 \oplus k[x, y]e_2 \end{matrix} \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so X is also a cpt generator of $\text{MF}^\infty(k(x,y), xy)$ (11)

$$\text{let } B = \text{End}(X)$$

$$B^0 = \begin{pmatrix} k(x,y) & 0 \\ 0 & k(x,y) \end{pmatrix} \quad B' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & k(x,y) \\ k(x,y) & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$d: B^0 \rightarrow B' \quad B' \xrightarrow{d} B$$

$$d \begin{pmatrix} f & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & yg - gf \\ xf - gx & 0 \end{pmatrix} = 0 \Rightarrow f = g$$

$$d \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g \\ f & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} fx + yg & 0 \\ 0 & xg + yf \end{pmatrix} = 0 \Rightarrow f = hy, g = xh$$

so $\begin{matrix} k & \xrightarrow{\lambda \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ 0 & y \end{pmatrix}} & B^0 \\ 0 & \hookrightarrow & B' \end{matrix} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{is } g \text{ is id of } d \text{ of } g \end{matrix} \Rightarrow h = 0$

$$\Rightarrow \text{MF}^\infty(k(x,y), xy) \cong_{\text{MF}} C^{1/2}(k)$$

Now $k_w^{\text{std}} \otimes_h^{\text{std}} k(c) \cong k_w^{\text{std}} \otimes_h^{\text{std}} k(c) \quad (\text{look at Koszul complex})$

$$k_w^{\text{std}} \otimes_h^{\text{std}} (X \oplus X(1)) \Rightarrow k_w^{\text{std}} \otimes_h^{\text{std}} X \text{ is a cpt generator of } \text{MF}^\infty(k, wxy)$$

Then $\text{End}(k_w^{\text{stet}} \oplus X) = \text{End}(k_w^{\text{stet}}) \oplus \text{End}(X)$ (12)

in $\text{End}(k_w^{\text{stet}}) \oplus k = \text{End}(k_w^{\text{stet}})$

so $\text{MF}^\infty(R_{w+x_3}) \cong \widehat{\text{End}(k_{w+x_3}^{\text{stet}})}$

$\cong \widehat{\text{End}(k_w^{\text{stet}})}$

$\cong \text{MF}^\infty(R, w)$

and $\text{MF}(R_{w+x_3})_{pe} \cong \widehat{\text{MF}(R, w)}_{pe}$

Formal completion

Let (R, m) local with m -adic completion $(\hat{R}, \hat{m})$

Theorem 5.7 $\widehat{\text{MF}(R, w)}_{pe} \cong \text{MF}(\hat{R}, w)$

and the plunger completion of $H^0(\text{MF}(R, w))$ in $H^0(\widehat{\text{MF}(R, w)})$

is equivalent to $\text{MF}(\hat{R}, w)$

proof relies on

Lemma 5.6 The Lazard embedding $MF(\hat{R}, w) \rightarrow \widehat{MF(\hat{R}, w)}_{pe}^{(B)}$
is an iso in $\mathcal{H}odg$, so $MF(\hat{R}, w)$ is triangulated

and $H^0(MF(\hat{R}, w))$ is idempotent complete

$H^0(MF(\hat{R}, w))$ is a set of cpt generators for

$H^0(\widehat{MF(\hat{R}, w)})$ so by Neeman's Theorem

$H^0(\widehat{MF(\hat{R}, w)})$ is the smallest triangulated
subcategory of $H^0(\widehat{MF(\hat{R}, w)})$ containing

$H^0(MF(\hat{R}, w))$ and closed under summands

So: suffice to show $H^0(MF(\hat{R}, w))$ is closed under summands

We know that $\underline{MF(\hat{R}, w)}$ is equivalent to $\underline{MCM}(\hat{R}/w)$

By a result of Swan if $M \in \text{Mod}(\hat{R})$ is indecomposable
then $\text{End}(M)$ is local: x, y nonunits $\Rightarrow x+y$ is a nonunit

Take $e \in \text{End}(M)$ s.t. the image $\bar{e} \in \underline{\text{End}(M)}$

is a nontrivial idempotent. Then $\bar{e}$ and $1-\bar{e}$ are
nonunits, hence e and $1-e$ are nonunit in $\text{End}(M)$

kwl $e+(1-e)=1$, a contradiction. The $\text{End}(M)$ has no (14)
 non-trivial idempotents $\Rightarrow \text{MCM}(\hat{R}_w)$ is idempotent
 proof of Thm 5.7 let $A_{(R_w)} = \text{End}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} k \\ R_w \end{smallmatrix}^{\text{stch}}\right)$, $A_{(R_w)} = \text{End}\left(\begin{smallmatrix} b \\ R_w \end{smallmatrix}^{\text{stch}}\right)$
 By 5.6 and Thm 5.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{MF}(\hat{R}_w) &\cong \widehat{\text{MF}(\hat{R}_w)}_{pe} \\
 &\cong \widehat{A_{(R_w)}}_{pe}
 \end{aligned}$$

By Thm 5.2 we have

$$\widehat{\text{MF}(R_w)} \cong \widehat{A_{(R_w)}}_{pe}$$

However,

$$\text{Ker}(R, b) \oplus \hat{R} = \text{Ker}(\hat{R}, b)$$

We have the explicit description of $A_{(R_w)}$ as $\nearrow$

$$R\langle \theta_1, \dots, \theta_n, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_n} \rangle \text{ with differential } [S, -]^{\text{gr}}$$

$$S = \sum x_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} + w_i \theta_i \quad \text{whr } w = \sum w_i x_i$$

and similar for $A_{(\hat{R}_w)}$ We also have

$A_{(R,w)} \hookrightarrow A_{(R,w)}^a$ is a grad of dg algebra (15)

Fitter = $R(\theta_1 \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} \dots)$ by total dg in $\mathcal{J}\theta_i$

the $A_{(R,w)}^a$ is $R(\theta_1 \dots \theta_n) \otimes_R R(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_n})$

with 0 differential in $\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i}$ and the Koszul differential is that

the E_1 term is the $R(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_n})$ with differentials $[d, \cdot]$

The map σ holds for $A_{(\hat{R},w)} \hookrightarrow A_{(R,w)} \rightarrow A_{(R,w)}^a$
is compatible with the Fitters D

Quadratic hypersurface

$$\text{Take } w = \sum_1^n a_i x_i^2 \quad \prod a_i \neq 0$$

$$= \sum x_i w_i \quad w_i = a_i x_i$$

The $A = R(\theta_1 \dots \theta_n, \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_1} \dots \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_n})$ with

$$d\theta_i = x_i \quad d(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i}) = a_i x_i \quad \text{so } \tilde{\partial}_i = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_i} - a_i \theta_i$$

are cycles and generate $H^*(A)$ as k -algebra

We have $\bar{\partial}_i^2 = 0$ $\bar{\partial}_i \bar{\partial}_j = -\bar{\partial}_j \bar{\partial}_i$ for $i \neq j$ (16)

so $H^*(A) = C(w)$

and $(C(w), 0) \hookrightarrow (A^{op}, d)$ is given by A^{op}

Then

$$H^*(\hat{R}, w) \cong H^*(\text{Mod}_{C(w)}^{2/2})$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_i \cdot \bar{\partial}_i &= (\partial_i - a_i \theta_i)(\partial_i - a_i \theta_i) \\ &= -a_i \theta_i \partial_i + a_i^2 \theta_i^2 + \partial_i^2 \\ &\quad - a_i \partial_i \theta_i \end{aligned}$$

Thom Sebastian

$$\Gamma(k(x, y), w(x) + w'(y)) = A_w \otimes_k R_{w'}$$